

1 (D) REMARKS


2 In the parent application hereto, a rejection against claims 1-7 under Sec. 103(a) was based on  
3 U.S. Pat. No. 6,113,208 (Benjamin et al.) in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,520,616 B1, (Parks et al.).

4 Even though the references have some of the same system elements (e.g., ROM on cartridge),  
5 the invention is fundamentally different. The prior art just stores a version number and a URL of  
6 where the driver is located, and expect that everyone has access to the Internet. The present  
7 invention works by delivering the code itself. The problems addressed and solutions provided  
8 and claimed in accordance with the present invention are fundamentally different.

9 Questions or suggestions that will advance the case to allowance may be directed to the  
10 undersigned by teleconference at the Examiner's convenience.

11 Date: MAR. 2, 2004

Respectfully submitted,



Eugene H. Valet  
Attorney Reg. No. 31,435  
(425) 672-3147 fax 640-525

12 Eugene H. Valet  
13 Valet.Patents@verizon.net  
14 314 10<sup>th</sup> Ave. South  
15 Edmonds WA 98020<sup>1</sup>//

<sup>1</sup> Absent a filing herewith to the contrary, all correspondence is to continue to be addressed per the Declaration.